

REMARKS

At the outset, the Examiner is thanked for the thorough review and consideration of the pending application. The office action dated November 25, 2008 has been received and its contents carefully reviewed.

By this Response, claim 1 is amended. No new matter is added. Accordingly, claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 are currently pending, of which claims 15-20 are withdrawn as the result of an earlier restriction requirement. Reexamination and reconsideration of the pending claims is respectfully requested.

On pages 2 and 3 of the Office Action, claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent No. 6,063,339 to Tisone et al. (hereinafter "Tisone").

The rejection of claims 1-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Tisone is respectfully traversed and reconsideration is requested.

Claim 1 is allowable at least in that this claim recites a combination of elements, including, for example, "supports above the table, the number of supports corresponding to the number of column or line of image display parts" and "a plurality of syringes affixed at each supports to dispense a material on the substrate, the number of the syringe of support being same as to the number of the image display parts in the corresponding column or line." Tisone does not teach or suggest at least these features of the claimed invention.

Tisone merely discloses "Alternatively, multiple dispensers 502 may be used either in parallel, as illustrated in FIG. 2, or independently of one another. Arrays of dispenser heads could also be configured together, spaced, for example, on 4.5 mm or 9 mm center-to-center, so as to provide array dispensing of 8, 16 or 64 drops simultaneously and/or in synchronous coordination. FIG. 2 illustrates a single continuous feed platform 500 configured with multiple dispensers 512 to handle one or more reagents. This particular dispensing apparatus configuration has significant advantages for continuous web production applications since one or more syringe pumps 512 can be operated in alternating succession while allowing the non-dispensing syringe pump to draw additional reagent from the reservoir or they can be configured

independent of one another to dispense the same or different reagents simultaneously or in succession.” See Tisone Column 22, lines 16-33.

That is, Tisone fails to show the substrate having a plurality of image display parts and thus in Tisone the number of the support is not correspondent with the number of column or line of the image display parts. Although the multiple dispensers 502 may be used in Tisone, the relationship between the number of the multiple dispensers 502 and the number of the column or line of the image display parts is not disclosed in Tisone.

Therefore, Tisone fails to teach or suggest at least “supports above the table, the number of supports corresponding to the number of column or line of image display parts” and “a plurality of syringes affixed at each supports to dispense a material on the substrate, the number of the syringe of support being same as to the number of the image display parts in the corresponding column or line.”

Accordingly, Applicant respectfully submits that claim 1 and claims 2-3, 5-9, 11-12 and 21, which depend directly and indirectly from claim 1, are allowable over Tisone.

If for any reason the Examiner finds the application other than in condition for allowance, the Examiner is requested to call the undersigned attorney at (202) 496-7500 to discuss the steps necessary for placing the application in condition for allowance. All correspondence should continue to be sent to the below-listed address.

If these papers are not considered timely filed by the Patent and Trademark Office, then a petition is hereby made under 37 C.F.R. §1.136, and any additional fees required under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 for any necessary extension of time, or any other fees required to complete the filing of this response, may be charged to Deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Application No.: 10/717,542
Amendment dated February 24, 2009
Response to Office Action dated November 25, 2008

Docket No.: 8734.257 US

Please credit any overpayment to deposit Account No. 50-0911.

Dated: February 24, 2009

Respectfully submitted,

By Valerie P. Hayes
Valerie P. Hayes
Registration No.: 53,005
McKENNA LONG & ALDRIDGE LLP
1900 K Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20006
(202) 496-7500
Attorneys for Applicant